UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,850	02/01/2006	James Daniel Asbury	209546-104849	9756
	7590 12/18/200 MILLER SCHWARTZ	EXAMINER		
	VARD AVENUE	CLARK, GREGORY D		
SUITE 100 BLOOMFIELD	HILLS, MI 48304-50	48	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,850	ASBURY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY CLARK	1794	

	GREGORY CLARK	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6,7,9-14,18,19,22 and 23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5,8,15-17,20 and AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ided below or appended.					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attachment Below.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/58/08) Paper No(s)					
/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/GREGORY CLARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11:Segawa uses similar insulative materials with a similar structure to the applicants' materials. Segawa also discloses that such materials were known to prevent the temperature of the vehicle's interior from being elevated by radiant heat (column 5, lines 6-11) even though the materials are applied to the vehicle exterior roof. Example 1 by Segawa shows the laminate material applied to the outer layer but the reference does not limit the laminate to usage on the outer layer. Ogawa (secondary reference) shows that insulative materials were known to be used on non-flat interior surfaces of vehicles including headliners with not air gap (paragraph 46). It would have been obvious to apply the Segawa material to the interior surface as disclosed by Ogawa. The orientation of the laminate structure of Segawa would not be changed, but the laminate would be applied based on the guidance of Ogawa to the interior surface. The applicants' view of a suitable internal laminate is much narrower than the examiner. The selection of known insulative laminate materials and the subsequent application of such materials to the vechicle exterior or interior roof is viewed as a matter of preferrence based on aesthetics.